

m.L

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,522	11/30/2004	Armando Annunziato	23113	4483
10/516,522 11/30/2004 Armando Annunziato 23113 535 7590 02/21/2007 THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS 5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900 RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900 SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	INER			
		RAMPURIA, SHARAD K		
	RPONY) NV 10471 (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIVERDALE (I	SKONA), NT 104/1-0	1700	2617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	NTHS	02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/516,522	ANNUNZIATO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sharad Rampuria	2617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply or will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute. cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	November 2004.			
		nis action is non-final.	•		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice unde				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	•		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•		
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 November 2004</u> is		pjected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) i	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Appl	ication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.		
Attachmen	(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		ail Date mal Patent Application		
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,522

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

I. The Art Unit location of this application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Claim Objections

II. Claims 4-10, 14-25 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim, "cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim." See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-10, 14-25 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claims objected to because of the following informalities: the word "characterised" is misspelled, please correct to "characterized". Appropriate correction is required.

Claims are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims that contain the following **abbreviations**:

PCF, GW, IP, BTS, MS, should correlate with a description. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims objected to because of the following informalities: after the word "comprising" a ";" is missing. Appropriate correction is required.

Disposition of the claims

III. The current office-action is in response to the application filed on 11/30/2004.

Accordingly, Claims 1-25 are imminent for further assessment as follows:

Priority

Page 3

IV. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 1 19(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Oath/Declaration

V. The office acknowledges receipt of a properly signed oath/declaration.

Drawings

VI. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "needed details, for the items shown in Fig.2, as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

VII. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by **Moilanen** [US 20030096622] *hereinafter* **Moilanen**.

As per claim 1, Moilanen teaches:

Method for locating a mobile terminal (MS, MS2, . . .) within a mobile communication network comprising at least one base station (BTS1, BTS2, . . . BTSn), the method comprising the measurement of a set of physical dimensions that identify, according to respective functions, locating co-ordinates (x, y, z) of said mobile terminal, (Abstract, ¶ 0034-0036) characterized in that it comprises the steps of:

Generating, starting from said set of physical dimensions and respective functions, a global locating error function (.phi.), which has a minimum for values of, said locating co-

Application/Control Number: 10/516,522

Art Unit: 2617

ordinates (x, y, z) corresponding with the position occupied by said mobile terminal, (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0049-0057, and supported by 0012)

Seeking the minimum of said error function (.phi.) by varying at least one of said locating co-ordinates (x, y, z), and locating said mobile terminal in correspondence with the value of said at least one locating co-ordinate corresponding to said minimum. (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0059, and supported by 0020)

As per claim 2, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, characterised in that said set of physical dimensions comprises at least a dimension selected within the group constituted by: signal power received by said mobile terminal starting from said at least one base station, Timing Advance (TA), Observed Time Differences (OTD), and Time of Arrival (TOA). (e.g.; ¶ 0061, 0067, and supported by 0008)

As per claim 3, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1 or 2 characterised in that the measuring step comprises the step of performing measurements able to identify at least a value of position or distance with determined precision. (e.g.; ¶ 0068)

As per claim 4, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that said global error function is defined as the variance of the dimensions included in said set and a dimension whose value is

zero. (e.g.; RD; ¶ 0046, 0059, and supported by 0012)

As per claim 5, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that said global error is defined as the mean square error of the dimensions of said set. (e.g. least square error; ¶ 0048)

As per claim 6, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in any of the previous claims, characterised in that said global error function (.phi.) is obtained starting from a plurality of dimensions of said set. (e.g.; ¶ 0036, 0059)

As per claim 7, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 1, 2 or 3, characterised in that said set comprises one single dimension, so that said global error function (.phi.) is generated starting from the single dimension of said set. (e.g.; ¶ 0035)

As per claim 8, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in any of the previous claims, characterised in that it comprises, to seek said minimum, the execution of an iterative process evaluating of said global error function for different values of said at least one location co-ordinate (x.sub.0, y.sub.0, z.sub.0 . . . ; x.sub.n, y.sub.n, z.sub.n) corresponding to successive different points of the space covered by said communication network. (e.g.; ¶ 0048, 0059, and supported by 0006)

As per claim 9, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in claim 8, characterised in that it comprises the step of interrupting said iterative process when the absolute distance between two successive points is below a determined threshold value. (e.g.; \P 0063)

As per claim 10, Moilanen teaches:

Method as claimed in any of the previous claims, characterised in that it is applicable in a three-dimensional reference system. (e.g.; ¶ 0059, and supported by 0006)

As per claim 11, Moilanen teaches:

System for locating a mobile terminal (MS1, MS2, . . .) within a mobile communication network comprising at least one base station (BTS1, BTS2, . . . BTSn), the system comprising at least a locating module (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066) configured to measure a set of physical dimensions that identify according to respective functions location co-ordinates (x, y, z) of said mobile terminal, (Abstract, ¶ 0034-0036) characterised in that said locating module (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066) is configured to:

Generate, starting from said set of physical dimensions and respective functions, a global locating error function (.phi.) which allows a minimum for values of said locating co-ordinates (x, y, z) corresponding with the position occupied by said mobile terminal, (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0049-0057, and supported by 0012)

Seek the minimum of said error function (.phi.) varying at least one of said locating coordinates (x, y, z), and locate said mobile terminal in correspondence with the value of said at least one locating co-ordinate (x, y, z) corresponding to said minimum. (e.g. RD; ¶ 0046, 0059, and supported by 0020)

Claims 12-20 are the, system claims, corresponding to method claims 2-10 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 2-10 respectively, above.

As per claim 21, Moilanen teaches:

System as claimed in any of the claims from 11 to 20, characterised in that it further comprises a module to allow the exchange of data between said mobile terminal and said at least one base station to identify at least one dimension of said set. (SGSN; ¶ 0037, 0040)

As per claim 22, Moilanen teaches:

Mobile terminal configured for use in a system as claimed in any of the claims from 11 to 21, characterised in that the terminal comprises at least part of said locating module (PCF) integrated in the mobile terminal itself. (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066)

As per claim 23, Moilanen teaches:

Software product able to be loaded directly into a memory of a digital computer associated with a mobile terminal (MS1, MS2, . . .) as claimed in claim 22 and comprising

portions of software code able to implement said at least part of said locating module (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066) integrated in the mobile terminal itself when said software product is run on said digital computer. (e.g. 18; Fig.1, ¶ 0066)

Claim 24 is the, communication network claims, corresponding to method claim 1 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 respectively, above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Moilanen** in view of **Karr**, **Jr. et al.** [US 20010022558] *hereinafter* **Karr**.

As per claim 25, Moilanen teaches all the particulars of the claim except communication network as claimed in claim 24, characterised in that it comprises an interface module (GW) for interfacing with an IP network, said interface module being configured in such a way as to allow the transfer of at least one between: an order to locate one of said mobile terminals starting from a source (U) connected to said IP network, and a delivery information generated by a source (U) connected to said IP network, directed to said mobile terminals (MS1, MS2, ...) and referred to the location of at least one of said mobile terminals. However, Karr teaches in an analogous art, that communication network as claimed in claim 24, characterised in that it comprises an interface module (GW) for interfacing with an IP network, said interface module being configured in such a way as to allow the transfer of at least one between: an order to locate one of said mobile terminals starting from a source (U) connected to said IP network, and a delivery information generated by a source (U) connected to said IP network, directed to said mobile terminals (MS1, MS2, ...) and referred to the location of at least one of said mobile terminals. [Please refer to IP; ¶ 0247] Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify **Moilanen** including communication network as claimed in claim 24, characterised in that it comprises an interface module (GW) for interfacing with an IP network, said interface module being configured in such a way as to allow the transfer of at least one between: an order to locate one of said mobile terminals starting from a source (U) connected to said IP network, and a delivery information generated by a source (U) connected to

said IP network, directed to said mobile terminals (MS1, MS2, ...) and referred to the location of at least one of said mobile terminals in order to provide a system and method for locating a wireless mobile station using a plurality of simultaneously activated mobile station location estimators.

Conclusion

VIII. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC@uspto.gov.

Sharad Rampuria Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617